

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/902,688 07/12/2001		Hideo Ogiwara	P 282642 T7KK-01S0230 1610			
909	7590	06/12/2003		•		
	PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102 RICKM			RICKMAN	, HOLLY C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

1773
DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.•				AN	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/902,688	OGIWARA ET AL.	OGIWARA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Holly Rickman	1773		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		vith the correspondence address		
	or Reply	V 10 077 70 EVDIDE - 1			
THE - Extended after - If there is no incomplete If No incomplete Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  The eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of t	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 i	<u>March 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-final.			
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under			;	
· · _	tion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.	•			
•	Claim(s) <u>14 and 18</u> is/are objected to.	1			
· · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		the Examiner.		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a	) All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No		
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•		
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).	
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	* *			
Attachme	•				
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 5 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "exhibits a soft magnetic properties" in line 3 of each claim is grammatically incorrect. The word "a" should be deleted from the phrase in each claim. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "fine particles" in claims 2-3 and 8-9 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "fine particles" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claims 5 and 11 are rendered indefinite by the limitation "said layer...exhibits a soft magnetic properties under the temperature not higher than 10K and exhibits a paramagnetism under the temperature around room temperature." Since 10K is below room temperature, the two ranges overlap. Thus, the limitation essentially requires that the layer exhibit

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/902,688

Art Unit: 1773

paramagnetism and soft magnetic properties below 10K. It is not clear how the layer can be both at the same time.

Claims 4 and 10 are rendered indefinite by the limitation "said layer...has a saturation magnetization...not higher than 3980 A/m...and the magnetization is not saturated under the applied magnetic field not higher than 796,000 A/m." The Examiner has interpreted this limitation to mean that saturation magnetization is observed below 3980A/m and not below 796,000 A/m. However, the two ranges (0-796,000 A/m and 0-3980 A/m) overlap. Thus, it is not clear how the layer can be saturated and unsaturated below 3980 A/m.

Claims 6 and 12 are rendered indefinite by the limitation "the magnetization of said layer...is not saturated under the temperature around room temperature and under the applied magnetic field not higher than 796,000 A/m, and the layer...has a saturation magnetization under the temperature not higher than 10K and under the applied magnetic field not higher than 3980 A/m." Thus, the magnetization of the layer is saturated below 10K and 3980 A/m and is not saturated below room temperature and 796,000 A/m. This limitation is indefinite because ranges overlap and thus, it is not clear how the layer can be saturated and unsaturated at the same time (i.e., 0-3980 A/m falls within the range of less than 796,000 A/m and 0-10K falls within the range of less than room temperature).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1773

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-3, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirotaka et al. (JP 11-149628).

Hirotaka et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium having a superparamagnetic underlayer formed from a granular film of fine soft magnetic particles (see abstract and translation, paragraphs 14 and claims).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7-9, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirotaka et al. (JP 11-149628) in view of Onda (US 6417991).

Hirotaka et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium having a superparamagnetic underlayer formed from a granular film of fine soft magnetic particles (see abstract). The reference fails to teach the specific structure of the disk drive for use therewith.

Onda teach that a hard disk drive includes several components including at least one magnetic recording medium, a spindle motor for driving the medium, a magnetic head, and an actuator assembly for moving the head relative to the medium (col. 3, lines 10-24).

Art Unit: 1773

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the spindle motor, magnetic head and actuator assembly taught by Onda in combination with the recording medium taught by Hirotaka et al. in order to provide a functional hard disk drive.

8. Claims 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirotaka et al. (JP 11-149628).

Hirotaka et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium having a superparamagnetic underlayer formed from a granular film of fine soft magnetic particles (see abstract). The reference fails to teach the specific particle diameter of the fine soft magnetic particles used therein.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to determine the optimal particle size for the soft magnetic particles taught by Hirotaka et al. since particle size is directly related to superparamagnetism (i.e., superparamagnetism is achieved when a particular particle becomes small enough). Thus, it would have been obvious to optimize this result effective variable.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 14 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art to Hirotaka et al. fails to disclose a structure having the claimed properties. Furthermore, there is no basis to believe that the claimed properties would be inherently present in the structure taught by Hirotaka et al.

Art Unit: 1773

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 3/20/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is still unclear even in view of Applicant's remarks, how the claims rejected above under 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph are in fact definite. Thus, the rejections of record have been maintained.

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (703) 305-2642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner

Holly Clieby

Art Unit 1773

hcr

June 4, 2003